

FORM PTO-1449
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 10845-147

APPLICATION SERIAL NO.: ~~N/A~~ 10/621,082GROUP ART UNIT: ~~N/A~~ 1631

APPLICANTS: Luis O. Tedeschi, et al.

EXAMINER: ~~N/A~~ Marine Miller

FILING DATE: Herewith

CONFIRMATION NO.: N/A

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS/SUB- CLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
MM	5,351,644	10/04/94	Everett	119/14.01	
MM	5,673,647	10/07/97	Pratt	119/51.02	
MM	6,000,361	12/14/99	Pratt	119/51.02	
MM	6,135,055	10/24/00	Pratt	119/51.02	
MM	6,318,289 B1*	11/20/01	Pratt	119/51.02	10/25/99

OTHER DOCUMENTS

(Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)

MM	A) Perry, T.C. and Fox, D.G. (1997) "Predicting Carcass Composition and Individual Feed Requirement in Live Cattle Widely Varying in Body Size." J. Anim. Sci. 75:300-307.
1	B) "Nutritional Requirements for Beef Cattle." (2000) National Academies Press, 7th Revised Edition. P. 3-10
9	C) Fox, D.G., Tedeschi, L.O. and Guioy, P.J. (2001). "A Decision Support System for Individual Cattle Management." Proc. Cornell Nutr. Conf. for Feed Manuf. (Oct. 16-18, 2001), pp. 64-76.
	D) Guioy, P.J., Fox, D.G., Tedeschi, L.O., Baker, M.J. and Cravey, M.D. (2001) "Predicting individual feed requirements of cattle fed in groups." J. Anim. Sci. 79:1983-1995.
	E) Fox, D.G., Tedeschi, L.O. and Guioy, P.J. (2001) "Determining Feed Intake and Feed Efficiency of Individual Cattle Fed in Groups." Presented at the 33rd BIF Conference, July 11-14, 2001.
	F) Fox, D.G., Tedeschi, L.O., and Baker, M.J. (2002) "Determining Post-Weaning Feed Efficiency in Beef Cattle." Presented at the 34th BIF Conference, July 10-13, 2002. P. 1-9
9	G) Fox, D.G., Tylutki, T.P., Tedeschi, L.O., Van Amburgh, M.E., Chase, L.E., Pell, A.N., Overton, T.R. and Russell, J.B. (2003) "The Net Carbohydrate and Protein System for Evaluating Herd Nutrition and Nutrient Excretion." Version 5.0, Mimeo 213, Animal Science Dept. Cornell University, Ithaca, NY. P. 1-293

* These patents are representative of the state of the art but published after or less than one year prior to Applicant's priority date. Therefore, if any such item is considered sufficiently relevant by the Examiner to the present invention, its possible "prior art" status against the present invention should be considered individually allowing for the prospect of swearing back or other priority determination.

EXAMINER**DATE CONSIDERED**

MM. Miller

6/30/05